


Motivation

While TiO₂ NTs are known to improve native tissue cell function (osteoblast), there is little understanding of the impact that TiO₂ NTs size/dimensions have on the macrophage polarization - a critical element of in vivo of osseointegration.

Background

- Expected lifetime of bone implant is 10-20 years [2].
- Titanium is commonly used orthopedic implant metal for great mechanical properties – strong, lightweight, bioinert [3].
- Why Nanostructures?
 - Material-host tissue interfaces governed by nanometric surface cues, Increases ability of bone to bond to implant surface.
- Improved osseointegration increases lifespan of implant and reduces number of revision surgeries.

Figure 5: Osseointegration of dental implant (image from Dental Implants Cohoes).



Objectives

- Processing TiO₂ NTs via anodic oxidation.
- Altering dimensions by changing experimental variables.
- Microstructure characterization via SEM micrograph.
- Macrophage polarization (collected in collaboration with USD).

References

- [1] Crawford, G., & Chawla, N. (n.d.). Porous hierarchical TiO₂ nanostructures: Processing and microstructure relationships. *Acta Materialia*, 854-867.
- [2] Wolford, M., Palso, K., & Bercovitz, A. (2015, February 12). Hospitalization for Total Hip Replacement Among Inpatients Aged 45 and Over: United States, 2000–2010. Retrieved July 12, 2015.
- [3] Shrestha, Amin. (2013). Processing, Microstructure Characterization, and Adhesion Performance of TiO₂ Nanotubes Coating for Ti Bone Implants.
- [4] ©1995-2015 American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons.

Abstract

Research in biocompatible coatings could potentially increase the longevity of implants, lessening the number of implant replacements. Ti/Ti₆Al₄V nanotubes were fabricated via anodic oxidation in 0.1 M ethylene glycol + 1.0 M NH₄F solution. Characterization of the microstructure was carried out using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The effect of size and shape of nanotubes on macrophage polarization will be studied in collaboration with the University of South Dakota.

[4]

Materials and Methods

- Two electrode anodization cell- titanium anode and platinum cathode.
- Changing nanotube dimensions- tube diameter, lengths.
- Analyze biocompatibility of cells with altered nanotube surfaces.




Figure 1: General Experimental Overview



Figure 2: Blank Ti samples prepared for anodization.




Figure 3: Ti samples with TiO₂ oxide layer with NTs.




Figure 4: Basic anodization cell (Image from Intech).

Further Development

Develop process for producing TiO₂ NTs of various dimensions. There is a need to improve repeatability of fabrication process. In collaboration with USD, further determine relationships of macrophage polarization on altered titanium nanotube surfaces.

Results

SEM Characterization

- NTs were characterized by a uniform tube diameter and vertically aligned (perpendicular to Ti substrate) nanotube length.




Figure 5: SEM surface image of TiO₂ NTs processed at 40V for 60 min.





Figure 6: SEM cross-sectional image of TiO₂ NTs processed at 50V for 30min.

Nanotube Measurements



- In general, NT length increased with increasing anodization voltage.
- NT length was significantly influenced by anodization time (not expected).

- In general, NT diameter increased with increasing anodization voltage.
- NT diameter not significantly influenced by anodization time.
- Note, all measurements obtained for a single replicate for each treatment. Additional replicates are needed to increase statistical significance.

Conclusions

- TiO₂ NTs of varying length scales (tube diameter and length) were processed using NH₄F/ethylene glycol electrolyte.
- In general, tube diameter and length increased with increasing anodization voltage while anodization time had little effect on tube dimensions.
- Further process optimization is needed to gain increased control over tube dimensions.

Acknowledgements

This work was made possible by the National Science Foundation REU Back to the Future Site DMR-1460912. Thank you to Dr. Grant Crawford for training and guidance throughout this process. Also, thank you to Dr. Michael West and Dr. Alfred Boysen for knowledge and support.